The effect of ketorolac on pregnancy rates when used immediately after ocyte retrieval

Tolga B. Mesen, M.D.,^a Lamya Kacemi-Bourhim, M.D.,^b Paul B. Marshburn, M.D.,^b Rebecca S. Usadi, M.D.,^b Michelle Matthews, M.D.,^b H. James Norton, Ph.D.,^b and Bradley S. Hurst, M.D.^b

^a Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, and ^b Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Carolinas Medical Center, Charlotte, North Carolina

Objective: To study the effect of ketorolac, a potent anti-inflammatory medication, on in vitro fertilization (IVF) pregnancy outcomes when used at the time of oocyte retrieval.

Design: Retrospective review of 454 patients from 2003-2009.

Setting: Tertiary hospital-affiliated fertility center.

Patient(s): Consecutive subfertile women undergoing their first IVF cycle.

Intervention(s): Ketorolac administration immediately after oocyte retrieval.

Main Outcome Measure(s): Pregnancy, implantation, live-birth, and miscarriage rates, and postsurgical visual analog pain score. **Result(s):** Of the 454 patients undergoing their first IVF cycle for all indications, 103 received intravenous ketorolac immediately after oocyte retrieval, based on anesthesiologist preference. Patient and procedural characteristics were similar between both groups. The use of ketorolac had no effect on the rates of implantation, miscarriage, pregnancy, live birth, or multiple pregnancy. The patients receiving ketorolac experienced statistically significantly less pain.

Conclusion(s): This study suggests ketorolac has no apparent detrimental effect on IVF pregnancy outcomes when administered immediately after oocyte retrieval. Ketorolac appears to be a safe and effective analgesic to use at the

time of oocyte retrieval. (Fertil Steril® 2013;100:725–8. ©2013 by American Society for Reproductive Medicine.)

Key Words: Implantation, in vitro fertilization, ketorolac, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents



Use your smartphone to scan this QR code and connect to the discussion forum for this article now.*

Discuss: You can discuss this article with its authors and with other ASRM members at http://fertstertforum.com/mesentb-ketorolac-pregnancy-rate-implantation/

* Download a free QR code scanner by searching for "QR scanner" in your smartphone's app store or app marketplace.

etorolac tromethamine is a potent nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug (NSAID) that is often used to control postoperative pain. However, some fertility specialists avoid administering this medication immediately after oocyte retrieval because of theoretical concerns that NSAIDs may negatively impact embryo implantation due to their cyclooxygenase (COX) inhibitory effects. This

concern for administration of NSAIDs is appropriate, as 20 years of literature support the hypothesis that prostaglandins and the COX system play a crucial role in decidualization and implantation (1–10). We investigated whether the use of ketorolac, a potent COX-1 and COX-2 inhibitor, negatively impacts IVF implantation and pregnancy rates when it is given to alleviate pain immediately after oocyte retrieval.

Received March 28, 2013; revised April 23, 2013; accepted April 30, 2013; published online May 28, 2013.

T.B.M. has nothing to disclose. L.K.-B. has nothing to disclose. P.B.M. has nothing to disclose. R.S.U. has nothing to disclose. M.M. has nothing to disclose. H.J.N. has nothing to disclose. B.S.H. has nothing to disclose.

Reprint requests: Tolga B. Mesen, M.D., Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility, Fellow and Clinical Instructor, Campus Box 7570, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599 (E-mail: tolga_mesen@med.unc.edu).

Fertility and Sterility® Vol. 100, No. 3, September 2013 0015-0282/\$36.00 Copyright ©2013 American Society for Reproductive Medicine, Published by Elsevier Inc. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.04.048

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All patients undergoing their first IVF cycle who met inclusion criteria between January 1, 2003, and July 1, 2009 (454 patients), at a single-site fertility center in North Carolina comprised the study cohort for this retrospective review. The protocol was approved by the Carolinas HealthCare System institutional review board. Inclusion criteria limited the study population to women younger than 40 years of age who were undergoing their first cycle of oocyte retrieval with subsequent in vitro fertilizationintracytoplasmic sperm injection (IVF-ICSI) during our time frame, regardless of infertility diagnosis.

Before an IVF cycle was initiated, the patients were medically optimized.

The hemoglobin level was checked on all patients, and any anemia was corrected before moving forward with the fertility treatment. In brief, we used standard gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist (leuprolide acetate), GnRH antagonist (ganirelix acetate), and "flare" down-regulation (leuprolide acetate) protocols in all patients. Gonadotropin stimulation was achieved with commercially available gonadotropins with either purified or recombinant folliclestimulating hormone (FSH) or human menopausal gonadotropin (hMG). We administered 250–500 μ g of recombinant human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG; Ovidrel) or 5,000 to 10,000 IU of hCG when two follicles of 18 mm or greater were identified with transvaginal ultrasound monitoring. Approximately 36 hours after hCG administration, patients underwent oocyte retrieval with propofol sedation.

Hospital, clinic, and anesthesia documentation was reviewed to determine patient demographics, cycle information, retrieval data, and IVF outcome data in a retrospective manner. The use of 30 mg of intravenous ketorolac tromethamine immediately after oocyte retrieval was anesthesiologist dependent and was recorded in the anesthesia record. Once the patient left the operating room, the administration of ketorolac or other NSAIDs by the nursing staff was prohibited. In addition, patients were given verbal and written instructions to avoid all NSAIDs during fertility treatments before they were discharged from the postoperative care unit. During the postoperative period, a visual analog scale from 0–10 was used to determine the level of postoperative pain at the first nursing assessment.

Descriptive statistics including means and standard deviations or counts and percentages were calculated. For data measured on the interval scale, a Student's *t* test was used. If the data were ordinal or not normally distributed, the Wilcoxon rank sum test was employed. For nominal data we employed a chi-square analysis. SAS version 9.2 was used for all analyses. A two-tailed P < .05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

For this retrospective study, 454 met the inclusion criteria. The patient demographics were similar between all groups. The average age of the cohort was 33.2 ± 3.65 years. The average body mass index (BMI) in the cohort was 25.3 ± 5.91 . The majority (n = 393, 86.6%) of patients used a leuprolide down-regulation protocol, 38 (8.4%) patients used a GnRH antagonist protocol, and 21(4.6%) patients

TABLE 1

Demographics and cycle characteristics for patients who did or did not receive ketorolac.

| Characteristic | Ketorolac (n = 103) | No ketorolac (n = 351) | P value | | |
|---|--|--|--------------------------|--|--|
| Age BMI Embryo transferred Operating time | $\begin{array}{c} 33.0 \pm 3.2 \\ 25.8 \pm 6.1 \\ 2.3 \pm 0.65 \\ 58.6 \pm 16.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 33.2 \pm 3.8 \\ 25.1 \pm 5.8 \\ 2.2 \pm 0.65 \\ 61.5 \pm 17.0 \end{array}$ | .69 .31 .66 .08 | | |
| Stimulation protocol ^a Agonist Antagonist Flare | 93 (90.3) 10 (9.7) 0 (0) | 302 (86.0) 28 (8.0) 21 (6.0) | .04 | | |
| Note: Values are mean (standard deviation) unless otherwise indicated. ^a Value is given as number (percentage). | | | | | |

Mesen. Ketorolac and IVF outcome. Fertil Steril 2013.

used a flare protocol (Table 1). Of these patients, 241 (53.1%) achieved pregnancy.

Of the 454 women in our sample, at the time of oocyte retrieval, 103 (22.7%) received intravenous ketorolac, and 351 (77.3%) did not. When comparing these patients, we found no differences in age, procedure time, BMI, number of oocytes retrieved, fertilization rate, or the number of embryos transferred (see Table 1). No patient experienced excessive bleeding during or after the egg retrieval. There was a difference between the two groups in the type of stimulation protocol used (P=.04), but on secondary analysis the stimulation type did not affect the pregnancy outcome (data not shown).

The use of ketorolac had no effect on pregnancy outcomes. The pregnancy rate in women who received ketorolac (54.4%) compared with those not receiving the medication (52.7%) was not statistically significant (P=.77), nor was the live-birth rate (48.5% vs. 46.7%, respectively; P=.77). The implantation rate was also similar between the two groups (30.1% vs. 30.2%, respectively; P=.83). There was no statistically significant difference in miscarriage rate, defined as the loss of pregnancy after established fetal heart rate on transvaginal ultrasound (10.7% vs. 11.4%, respectively; P=.89). There was no statistically significant difference in the number of twins or triplets between the two groups (40.0% vs. 39.6%, respectively; P=.96) (Table 2).

Visual analog pain scores were available for 419 of the 454 patients. The patients who received ketorolac (103) experienced less pain with a median pain score of 2, and those

TABLE 2

In vitro fertilization outcomes for patients who did or did not receive ketorolac.

| Outcome | Ketorolac (n $=$ 103) | No ketorolac (n $=$ 351) | P value | Odds ratio (95% confidence interval) | |
|---|--|--------------------------|---------|---|--|
| Pregnancy rate | 56/103 (54.4%) | 185/351 (52.7%) | .77 | 1.1 (0.69–1.70) | |
| Live-birth rate | 50/103 (48.5%) | 164/351 (46.7%) | .74 | 1.2 (0.78–1.90) | |
| Implantation rate | 72/233 (30.1%) | 236/782 (30.2%) | .83 | 1.0 (0.82–1.28) | |
| Miscarriage rate | 6/56 (10.7%) | 21/185 (11.4%) | .89 | 0.94 (0.36-2.50) | |
| Multiple pregnancy rate | 20/50 (40.0%) | 65/164 (39.6%) | .96 | 0.99 (0.52–1.90) | |
| Note: Pregnancy rate is defined as a p | positive serum β -hCG concentration. | | | | |
| Mesen. Ketorolac and IVF outcome. Fertil Steril 2013. | | | | | |

VOL. 100 NO. 3 / SEPTEMBER 2013

who did not receive the medication (316) had a median pain score of 5 (P=.005) (Fig. 1).

Those patients who achieved pregnancy, regardless of the treatments they received, were younger (32.6 ± 3.7 vs. 33.8 ± 3.5 , *P*=.0007). The BMI and stimulation protocol had no effect on the pregnancy outcome data.

DISCUSSION

Despite theoretical concerns, our study suggests that ketorolac does not negatively impact implantation rates or pregnancy rates when used at the time of oocyte retrieval. In addition, the use of ketorolac improved pain scores in the postoperative period after oocyte retrieval.

Postoperative pain control is an important aspect of patient care that can be overshadowed during an IVF cycle in the attempt to achieve the patient's primary goal of pregnancy. Ketorolac and other NSAIDs have proven benefit at the time of gynecologic surgery (11, 12) but have been avoided after oocyte retrieval (13). In a study of British fertility centers, 57% of those surveyed avoided NSAID use after oocyte retrieval, and only one center used the NSAID ketorolac (14). Limited data are available on the actual use of ketorolac, or NSAIDs as a broader group, after oocyte retrieval.

Prostaglandin synthesis, a key component to implantation, is a product of arachidonic acid (AA) and is mediated by the rate limiting cyclooxygenase enzymes COX-1 and COX-2 (1). Both COX-1 and COX-2 convert the AA to prostaglandin H2 (PGH2), which can then be converted into a wide range of other prostaglandins. Although COX-1 and COX-2 are isoforms that share similar structural and kinetic properties, they are encoded by separate genes, and each has separate cell-specific properties. COX-1, a constitutive enzyme found in the cell membrane, functions primarily in cellular "housekeeping" roles; COX-2, an inducible enzyme



Box and whisker plot comparing the effect of IV ketorolac on patient comfort when used immediately after oocyte retrieval. (*Bars* represent the median, *boxes* extend from the 25th to 75th percentiles, and *whiskers* represent the 10th and 90th percentiles.) Differences between the two groups were calculated using a Mann-Whitney test (P=.005).

Mesen. Ketorolac and IVF outcome. Fertil Steril 2013.

found in the nuclear envelope, is primarily responsible for the increase of prostaglandins during the inflammatory response (2, 3). This separation of function is illustrated during decidualization and implantation. In response to peaks in midcycle estradiol and progesterone concentrations, COX-1 production decreases, but there is a local increase of COX-2, which is thought to be driven by an inflammatory reaction surrounding the blastocyst. Unlike COX-1, the increase in COX-2 is not affected by steroid hormones (4).

The prostaglandin-rich environment, most specifically prostaglandin E2 and I2, surrounding the blastocyst at the site of implantation plays an important role in the implantation process. A release of prostaglandins from the blastocyst in both animal models and humans has been reported (5). An interruption of implantation can be induced in the animal model if indomethacin, a NSAID, is administered (6–8). Furthermore, it has been shown that an infusion of prostaglandins can induce decidualization of the endometrium in rats (9). In a knockout model, mice deficient in COX-2 have multiple reproductive impairments, including errors in decidualization of the endometrium (10).

Ketorolac inhibits COX-1 and COX-2 and thus there is concern that the medication could prevent the cascade of cytokines important to implantation. As described by Chakraborty et al. (2) and Das et al. (3), the expression of the two COX enzymes is both cycle dependent and influenced by the presence or absence of the blastocyst. Although Lim et al. (10, 15) have shown that COX-2 is essential for implantation, and others have illustrated that inhibition of the COX system in the animal model with NSAIDs leads to failed decidualization and implantation (6, 16, 17), a single dose of ketorolac at the time of oocyte retrieval does not seem to negatively affect implantation.

A possible explanation for the discrepancy between the effects of ketorolac on implantation seen in laboratory studies and the results of this analysis of IVF cycles at our institution can be found in the timing of administration of ketorolac and its pharmacokinetic properties. The half-life of ketorolac is 4 to 7 hours, and 98% is excreted in the first 24 hours after administration (18). During the window of implantation, approximately 6 to 8 days after the oocyte retrieval, there is no longer biologically active ketorolac available to alter the inflammatory response thought to be necessary for implantation. Fortunately, the COX system does not appear to play an important role in the late proliferative phase at the time of oocyte aspiration (2).

The findings of our study are consistent with the findings of Kailasam et al. (19), who studied the impact of diclofenac sodium, a NSAID suppository, during assisted reproduction in a randomized double-blind study. In that study, 381 patients were randomized to receive either diclofenac sodium or placebo immediately after oocyte retrieval; no difference in implantation or pregnancy rates was seen (diclofenac sodium: 25.3% and 38.9%, respectively, vs. placebo: 21.6% and 32.6%, respectively). The patients who received diclofenac sodium also had statistically significantly lower pain scores (19). A second, smaller study of diclofenac sodium suppositories also showed a reduction in visual pain scores when used after oocyte retrieval (20). Our study is limited by its retrospective design and the size of the cohort studied. It is conceivable, although unlikely given the current data, that a small, statically significant, but not biologically important difference could be detected with a larger number of subjects. In addition, there were no instances of treatment with the "flare" protocol in patients who received ketorolac, so we cannot rule out the possibility of a negative effect of ketorolac in patients receiving that treatment.

This is the first study to date investigating the impact of ketorolac on pregnancy rates when used at the time of follicular aspiration in an IVF cycle. The results of this study and a review of the literature suggest that the theoretical concerns surrounding the use of ketorolac at the time of follicular aspiration are unfounded. These results support the use of ketorolac for patient pain alleviation without concern for adversely affecting implantation or pregnancy rates.

REFERENCES

- Kang J, Chapdelaine P, Laberge PY, Fortier MA. Functional characterization of prostaglandin transporter and terminal prostaglandin synthases during decidualization of human endometrial stromal cells. Hum Reprod 2006; 21:592–9.
- Chakraborty I, Das SK, Wang J, Dey SK. Developmental expression of the cyclo-oxygenase-1 and cyclo-oxygenase-2 genes in the peri-implantation mouse uterus and their differential regulation by the blastocyst and ovarian steroids. J Mol Endocrinol 1996;16:107–22.
- Das SK, Wang J, Dey SK, Mead RA. Spatiotemporal expression of cyclooxygenase 1 and cyclooxygenase 2 during delayed implantation and the periimplantation period in the Western spotted skunk. Biol Reprod 1999; 60:893–9.
- Marions L, Danielsson KG. Expression of cyclo-oxygenase in human endometrium during the implantation period. Mol Hum Reprod 1999;5: 961–5.
- Holmes PV, Sjogren A, Hamberger L. Prostaglandin-E2 released by pre-implantation human conceptuses. J Reprod Immunol 1990;17:79–86.

- Hoffman LH, Davenport GR, Brash AR. Endometrial prostaglandins and phospholipase activity related to implantation in rabbits: effects of dexamethasone. Biol Reprod 1984;30:544–55.
- Kennedy TG. Intrauterine infusion of prostaglandins and decidualization in rats with uteri differentially sensitized for the decidual cell reaction. Biol Reprod 1986;34:327–35.
- Rankin JC, Ledford BE, Jonsson HT Jr, Baggett B. Prostaglandins, indomethacin and the decidual cell reaction in the mouse uterus. Biol Reprod 1979; 20:399–404.
- 9. Kennedy TG, Lukash LA. Induction of decidualization in rats by the intrauterine infusion of prostaglandins. Biol Reprod 1982;27:253–60.
- Lim H, Paria BC, Das SK, Dinchuk JE, Langenbach R, Trzaskos JM, et al. Multiple female reproductive failures in cyclooxygenase 2-deficient mice. Cell 1997;91:197–208.
- Hyllested M, Jones S, Pedersen JL, Kehlet H. Comparative effect of paracetamol, NSAIDs or their combination in postoperative pain management: a qualitative review. Br J Anaesth 2002;88:199–214.
- Lu CH, Liu JY, Lee MS, Borel CO, Yeh CC, Wong CS, et al. Preoperative cotreatment with dextromethorphan and ketorolac provides an enhancement of pain relief after laparoscopic-assisted vaginal hysterectomy. Clin J Pain 2006;22:799–804.
- 13. Tsen LC. Anesthesia for assisted reproductive technologies. Int Anesthesiol Clin 2007;45:99–113.
- Bokhari A, Pollard BJ. Anaesthesia for assisted conception: a survey of UK practice. Eur J Anaesthesiol 1999;16:225–30.
- Lim H, Gupta RA, Ma WG, Paria BC, Moller DE, Morrow JD, et al. Cyclooxygenase-2-derived prostacyclin mediates embryo implantation in the mouse via PPARdelta. Genes Dev 1999;13:1561–74.
- 16. Sookvanichsilp N, Pulbutr P. Anti-implantation effects of indomethacin and celecoxib in rats. Contraception 2002;65:373–8.
- Diao HL, Zhu H, Ma H, Tan HN, Cong J, Su RW, et al. Rat ovulation, implantation and decidualization are severely compromised by COX-2 inhibitors. Front Biosci 2007;12:3333–42.
- Flores-Murrieta F, Granados-Soto V. Pharmacologic properties of ketorolac tromethamine: a potent analgesic drug. CNS Drug Rev 1996;2:75–90.
- Kailasam C, Hunt LP, Ryder I, Bhakri I, Gordon UD. Safety and effectiveness of diclofenac sodium in assisted reproduction treatment: a randomized prospective double-blind study. Reprod Biomed Online 2008;16:724–9.
- Al Deery M, Jaroudi K, Al Hassan S, Bazzi R, Al Shahrani A, Awartani K. Diclofenac for analgesia in assisted conception: a prospective cohort study. Middle East Fertil Soc J 2006;11:48–52.